

CarbonFix Transition Report

This document records the Corrective Action Requests (CARs) demanded during the assessment of the requirements from the "CarbonFix Transition Guidelines". The assessment was executed by the Gold Standard secretariat and its recommendation is base for the Technical Advisory Board (TAC) to approve / not approve a project as Gold Standard certified.

Project Name	Project TOGO
Project ID Country Project size Date of certification Auditor	TG-PTX Togo 809 ha 4 Dec 2014 TÜV Süd
Project owner	natureOffice GmbH Friedrich-Ebert-Str. 1 55257 Budenheim am Rhein Germany represented by Andreas Weckwert aw@natureoffice.com
CO2-certificates to be transferred Validated Verified	5,904 (30% buffer and 50% rule already deducted) 0
Additional certifications Type Date of certification Auditor	N/A
History of the review process	<< Submission 08 Dec 2014 >> Review feedback N/A
Recommendation by the Gold Standard secretariat for approval by the Gold Standard Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes 07 Jan 2015
Approval by the TAC	<input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes 21 Jan 2015

Detailed review description

1. The project owner shall inform the *Designated National Authority (DNA)*¹ about the project activity.

Documentation check

- sufficient / satisfactory information provided
- consistent information provided

Supporting documents check

- up-to-dateness of information
- reference integrity to the content in the documentation
- accuracy of the information
- N/A

Evidence found during field-visit

- observed or experienced during the field-visit
- confirmed by interview(s)
- confirmed by general impression
- N/A

Corrective Action Requests (CARs) or Forward Action Requests (FARs)

None

Final Conclusion

- Accepted
- Accepted with FAR (...)

¹ Designated National Authority (DNA)

<https://cdm.unfccc.int/DNA/index.html>

2. Written affirmation to uphold ILO in case the government is not ILO member. ILO copies shall be available to workers.

Documentation check

- sufficient / satisfactory information provided
- consistent information provided

Supporting documents check

- up-to-dateness of information
- reference integrity to the content in the documentation
- accuracy of the information
- N/A

Evidence found during field-visit

- observed or experienced during the field-visit
- confirmed by interview(s)
- confirmed by general impression
- N/A

Corrective Action Requests (CARs) or Forward Action Requests (FARs)

None

Final Conclusion

- Accepted
- Accepted with FAR (...)

3. Signed declaration on anti-corruption by the project owner.

Documentation check

- sufficient / satisfactory information provided
- consistent information provided

Supporting documents check

- up-to-dateness of information
- reference integrity to the content in the documentation
- accuracy of the information
- N/A

Evidence found during field-visit

- observed or experienced during the field-visit
- confirmed by interview(s)
- confirmed by general impression
- N/A

Corrective Action Requests (CARs) or Forward Action Requests (FARs)

None

Final Conclusion

- Accepted
- Accepted with FAR (...)

4. The project owner shall demonstrate that its project has engaged with stakeholders following the key principles of a FPIC approach (free, prior and informed consent).
- (a) **Free** from force, intimidation, manipulation, coercion or pressure by any government or company.
 - (b) **Prior** to the implementation of the different activities.
 - (c) **Informed**; all the relevant information shall be made available to the stakeholders that will be affected by the different project activities. This information shall be in a language that the stakeholders can easily understand.
 - (d) **Consent** requires that the stakeholders involved/affected by the project can say “Yes” or “No” to the different project activities at various stages throughout the project. The decisions must be in-line with a decision-making process that suits the circumstances.

Documentation check

- sufficient / satisfactory information provided
- consistent information provided

Supporting documents check

- up-to-dateness of information
- reference integrity to the content in the documentation
- accuracy of the information
- N/A

Evidence found during field-visit

- observed or experienced during the field-visit
- confirmed by interview(s)
- confirmed by general impression
- N/A

Corrective Action Requests (CARs) or Forward Action Requests (FARs)

None

Final Conclusion

- Accepted
- Accepted with FAR (...)

5. Removal of invasive species in the buffer zone of water bodies.

Documentation check

- sufficient / satisfactory information provided
- consistent information provided

Supporting documents check

- up-to-dateness of information
- reference integrity to the content in the documentation
- accuracy of the information
- N/A

Evidence found during field-visit

- observed or experienced during the field-visit
- confirmed by interview(s)
- confirmed by general impression
- N/A

Corrective Action Requests (CARs) or Forward Action Requests (FARs)

None

Final Conclusion

- Accepted
- Accepted with FAR (...)